

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/706,633	11/12/2003	Pamela Lynn Teran	0803-0110	7987
26568	7590 03/22/2005		EXAMINER	
COOK, ALEX, MCFARRON, MANZO, CUMMINGS & MEHLER LTD SUITE 2850			PADEN, CAROLYN A	
200 WEST ADAMS STREET		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL 60606			1761	
			DATE MAIL ED: 03/22/2004	•

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		X				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/706,633	TERAN ET AL.			
Office A	Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Carolyn A Paden	1761			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may after SIX (6) MONTHS - If the period for reply st - If NO period for reply is - Failure to reply within the Any reply received by the statement of th	STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY TE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. To be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 from the mailing date of this communication. Decified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply a specified above, the maximum statutory period whe set or extended period for reply will, by statute, the Office later than three months after the mailing ustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a) This action i 3) Since this a	 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 February 2005. 2a)☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☒ This action is non-final. 3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 					
Disposition of Claim	s					
4) Claim(s) 1-13 and 15-43 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 3-5,17-23,32,33 and 35-43 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2,6-13,24-31 and 34 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 15,16 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
10) The drawing Applicant may Replacement	ation is objected to by the Examine (s) filed on is/are: a) acce y not request that any objection to the drawing sheet(s) including the correct declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S	s.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
	n's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) e Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/706,633

Art Unit: 1761

Claims 3-5, 17-23, 32, 33, 35-43 are allowed.

Claims 15-16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 6-13, 24-31 & 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yang (4,832,975) for reasons of record.

Applicant argues that Yang is not an interesterified fat and that interesterified fats are easier to make than the fats used in Yang. It is the examiners understanding that esterification and interesterification are equivalent reactions. Interesterification is a process limitation that does not carry any weight in product claims.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

⁽a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Application/Control Number: 10/706,633

Art Unit: 1761

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 6-13, 24-31 & 34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yang (4,832,975) for the reasons set forth in the last office action when rejecting claims under 35 USC 102.

Yang disclosed tailored triglycerides with improved auto ignition properties. The composition contains an esterified fat having a combination of medium chain triglycerides and long chain triglycerides in the relative amounts of those set forth in the claims. The claims appear to differ from Yang in the method by which the product is made: using interesterification or using esterification. Process limitations do not carry any weight in product claims. The fact that the product may be easier to obtain by interesterification than by esterification does not alone carry any patentable weight.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carolyn A Paden whose telephone number is (571) 272-1403. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7 am to 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano, can be reached on (571) 272-1398 or

Application/Control Number: 10/706,633

Art Unit: 1761

by dialing 571-272-1700. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

CARDIAN PADEN 3-17-05